

William W. Wilkins Professional Building Columbus, Ohio

Technical Assignment 1 October 5, 2006

Michelle Benoit Structural Option AE 481W Senior Thesis Faculty Advisor: Dr. Boothby

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	Page 3
Codes	Page 4
Material Strengths	Page 4
Structural Systems Foundation Floor System Columns Lateral System	Page 5 Page 5 Page 5 Page 6 Page 7
Loads	Page 8
Spot Checks	Page 10
Appendix A1. Floor Plan A2. Enlarged Typical Bay A3. Wind Loads A4. Earthquake Loads A5. Snow Loads A6. Spot Checks	Page 11 Page 11 Page 11 Page 12 Page 17 Page 19 Page 19

Executive Summary

This report consists of a detailed description of the structural system and a structural analysis of a typical bay and braced frame in the William W. Wilkins Professional Building.

The Wilkins building is a 6 story medical office building located in Columbus, Ohio. It is essentially an addition to the Grant Riverside hospital across the street. These buildings are connected by a pedestrian bridge from the third floor. Enclosed by brick veneer, precast concrete and spandrel glass panels the exterior is non-load bearing.

The structural system for the Wilkins building begins with caissons drilled 25'-2", on average, to rest on soil with a bearing value of 16,000psf. On each caisson is a pier. Framing into the piers are grade beams. The ground slab is 4" concrete reinforced with 6x6-W1.4xW1.4 welded wire fabric (WWF) sitting on 6" porous fill. Floors 2-6 consist of a 3 ½" concrete slab on 2" 18 gage composite steel deck welded to the support steel. These slabs are reinforced with 6x6-W2.1xW2.1 WWF. Floor framing generally consists of a W16x31 beam connected compositely to the floor slab. Beams frame into a W24x55 girder. Columns are ASTM 992 Grade 50 rolled W12 steel shapes.

The lateral system consists of V-bracing. Lateral bracing is located on the North and South faces of the building in the West end bay. Additionally there are two braced frames spanning North-South and one spanning East-West in the stairwell, elevator shaft area.

A spot check was performed on a typical floor and roof bay. For the most part the results of these checks showed that the building was somewhat over designed. In addition to floor bays a check was made on a braced frame resisting North-South lateral loads. I found from my lateral analysis that seismic was going to control in the North-South direction. At this time I do not have the actual seismic loads calculated. My lateral analysis resulted in far higher forces in bracing members than the original design accounted for. These differences could be for several reasons which will be discussed in detail later in the report.

Codes

Building Code: Ohio Basic Building Code, 1998 (OBBC)

Loads:

ASCE 7-98

Structural Concrete:

The American Concrete Industry (ACI 301-89, 315, 318)

Structural Steel:

Specifications for Design, Fabrication and Erection of Structural Steel for Buildings, latest edition (AISC-ASD)

Welding:

American Welding Society, latest edition (AWS D1.1)

Steel Deck:

Steel Deck Institute Specifications, latest edition (SDI)

Cold Formed Light Gage Steel Framing:

Design of Cold-Formed Steel Structural Members, latest edition (AISI)

Materíal Strengths

Rolled W shapes	ASTM 992 GR 50
Tubing	ASTM A500 GR B
Pipes	ASTM A501 or A53 type E or S
Other Structural Steel	ASTM 36
WWF	ASTM A-185
Rebar	ASTM A-615 GR 60
Shear Studs	ASTM A108 GR 101, 1015, 1017 or 1020
Bolts	ASTM A325 or A490
Welds	E70XX or better
Caissons	3000psi
All Other Concrete	

Benoit Page 4 of 28

Structural Systems

Foundation:

The foundation for the William W. Wilkins Professional Building consists of piers resting on caissons with grade beams spanning between. See Figure 1 below. Caissons are drilled an average of 25'-2" to rest on sand/gravel with a bearing pressure of 16,000psi. Concrete with a minimum 28 day strength of 3,000psi was used for the caissons. Ranging in diameter from 48" to 84" these caissons are reinforced with #9, 10 or 11 bars with #3 or 4 stirrups at 12 or 18 inches. Piers and grade beams have a minimum 28 day strength of 3,500psi. On average piers are 1'x1' while grade beams vary from 12"x32" to 24"x32". Both are reinforced with #6, 7 or 8 bars with #3 stirrups at 12".



Figure 1: Typical Caisson Detail

Floor system:

The floor system in the Wilkins building is designed compositely. Floor slabs comprise of 3 $\frac{1}{2}''$ normal weight concrete on 2" 18 gage composite steel deck reinforced with W2.1xW2.1 welded wire fabric (WWF). Decking is welded to support steel. Slab on grade (SOG) varies slightly consisting of 4" concrete on 6" porous fill reinforced with W1.4xW1.4 WWF. A typical bay consists of W16x31 beams spanning 32'-4" in the East-West direction framing into W24x55 girders spanning 30'-9" in the North-South direction. $\frac{3}{4}''$ diameter by 4 $\frac{1}{2}''$ long headed studs are spaced evenly across members to transfer loads. Roof framing of a typical bay utilizes the same size members only noncomposite. On the East face there is a slight overhang supported by W12x14 beams framing into W16x26 girders. Moment connections are used where beams connect to columns and girders.

Columns:

Columns are ASTM 992 Grade 50 rolled W12 steel shapes with splices on the third and fifth floors. Splice connections use welds and $\frac{3}{4}$ " diameter A325 bolts, web bolts are slip critical, to connect plates. See Figure 2 below. The largest columns are W12x136 and are part of the lateral system as well. Gravity columns range from W12x40s at the roof level to a max of W12x106 at ground level. Base plates are either 18x18 or 20x20 with thicknesses ranging from $1\frac{3}{4}$ " to $2\frac{1}{2}$ ". Connections consist of (4) anchor bolts of varying sizes. See Figure 3 below.



Figure 2 Typical Column Splice Details





Benoit Page 6 of 28

Lateral System:

Lateral loads are resisted in the Wilkins building using braced frames. Two frames spanning North-South are located near the elevator shafts. Frames spanning East-West are split up with one by the elevator shafts, one on the exterior South-East bay and one on the exterior North-East bay. Lateral bracing in these frames are ASTM A500 Grade B tubes ranging in size from TS5x5x.1875 to TS8x8x.25. A typical braced frame is shown in Figure 4 below. The tube steel is welded to gusset plates that connect to main framing members.



Figure 4: Typical Braced Frame

Loads

		r	
	Existing loads	My loads	
	(psf)	osf) (psf)	
Live:			ASCE 7-05
Office floor	50	50	
Corridors	80	80	
Lobbies &			
Stairs	100	100	
Library			
Stacks	150	150	
Roof		20	
Snow	25 + Drift	20	
Dead:			
Partitions	20	10	ASCE 7-05
Metal Deck		2.4	Catalogues
Concrete		54	Catalogues
Beams	varies	varies	LRFD
Ceiling	5	2	
MEP		3	
Misc.	5	3.6	
Total:	30	75	
Roof Dead:	22 + steel	22 + steel	

Wind(MWFRS)	Psi	
0-20'		16
21''40'		19
41'-60'		21
61'-80'		23
81'-100'		24

My wind:

$P=qGCp - q_i(GCp_i)$								
	Windwa	ard (psf)	Leewar	rd (psf)	Total	Total (psf)		
height	N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W		
0-15'	9.813934	9.813934	-7.65776	-10.0237	17.47169	19.83761		
20'	10.4132	10.4132	-7.65776	-10.0237	18.07096	20.43688		
25'	10.89262	10.89262	-7.65776	-10.0237	18.55038	20.9163		
30'	11.37204	11.37204	-7.65776	-10.0237	19.0298	21.39572		
40'	12.09116	12.09116	-7.65776	-10.0237	19.74892	22.11484		
50'	12.69043	12.69043	-7.65776	-10.0237	20.34819	22.71411		
60'	13.16985	13.16985	-7.65776	-10.0237	21.30702	23.67294		
70'	13.64926	13.64926	-7.65776	-10.0237	21.30702	23.67294		
80'	14.12868	14.12868	-7.65776	-10.0237	21.78644	24.15236		
84.67	14.24854	14.24854	-7.65776	-10.0237	21.90629	24.27221		

For complete calculations see Appendix.

Seismic:

For complete calculations see Appendix.

Story	F _x (k)
2	24.26172
3	47.07634
4	69.43915
5	91.70517
6	114.1482
R	47.09417

Spot Checks

Through spot checks I have found that the building is slightly over designed in areas. W16x31 beams connecting to W24x55 girders are used almost exclusively. For example, I found for a typical floor bay a W14x22 beam would suffice with a W21x50 girder. On the roof I found a W14x22 beam with a W24x55 girder would work. My analysis of a typical column yielded mixed results. I found some of the members to be over designed and some to be under designed.

There are several possible reasons for these differences. In terms of design procedure ASD was utilized in the initial design, however, I performed my checks using LRFD. Also, framing plans indicate that the same beam size was used almost exclusively. This was probably done for ease of construction. My check was done on a typical office bay. Other bays consisting of corridors will have higher live loads causing greater reactions. On the roof bays I did not take into account the loads due to roof top units. It is for these reasons I feel my designs are slightly different.

In checking a braced frame I found the member forces to be significantly higher than the design engineer found. I do not have the seismic loads calculated at the time of design to compare my loads to. I did find the wind loads to be the same, however, I found seismic to control. This may be due to differences in seismic loads due to changes in the code. The design engineer used ASCE 7-98 to calculate loads whereas I used ASCE 7-05. The Ohio Basic Building Code may not have mandated that the building be designed for seismic loads. If this is the case wind would control, which would explain the lower forces. This may account for some of the differences. Further investigation will be performed to verify loads.

Future items to be checked include cladding and roof design, foundation design and drift and deflection of the building. I will need to consider roof uplift. Design of Windows need to be checked for pressure differentials, transfer of loads, resistance to seismic and high wind loadings to maintain a fully enclosed building and projectile resistance. The foundation will need to be checked for stability, bearing pressure and possible uplift from the water table level.

For complete calculations see Appendix.

Appendix

A1. Typical Floor Plan



A2. Enlarged Typical Bay



Benoit Page 11 of 28

A3. Wind Loads

Height	K _z	0 ₇
0-15'	0.57	10.04659
20'	0.62	10.92787
25'	0.66	11.6329
30'	0.7	12.33792
40'	0.76	13.39546
50'	0.81	14.27674
60'	0.85	14.10048
70'	0.89	15.68678
80'	0.93	16.39181
84.67	0.94	16.56806

G	0.85
Gcpi	0.18

Ср					
	Leeward	Windward			
N-S	0.332	0.8			
E-W	0.5	0.8			

$P=qGCp - q_i(GCp_i)$								
	Windw	ard(psf)	Leewa	rd(psf)	Tota	Total(psf)		
height	N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W		
0-15'	9.813934	9.813934	-7.65776	-10.0237	17.47169	19.83761		
20'	10.4132	10.4132	-7.65776	-10.0237	18.07096	20.43688		
25'	10.89262	10.89262	-7.65776	-10.0237	18.55038	20.9163		
30'	11.37204	11.37204	-7.65776	-10.0237	19.0298	21.39572		
40'	12.09116	12.09116	-7.65776	-10.0237	19.74892	22.11484		
50'	12.69043	12.69043	-7.65776	-10.0237	20.34819	22.71411		
60'	13.16985	13.16985	-7.65776	-10.0237	20.82761	23.19353		
70'	13.64926	13.64926	-7.65776	-10.0237	21.30702	23.67294		
80'	14.12868	14.12868	-7.65776	-10.0237	21.78644	24.15236		
84.67	14.24854	14.24854	-7.65776	-10.0237	21.90629	24.27221		

		Story Force		Cumulative Shear				
		(kip)		(kip)		OM (ft-kip)		
Floor	Height	Trib. Ht	N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W
1	0	0	0	0	156.66	322.3	7567.7418	15526.36
2	16.33	14.835	27	56.2	156.66	322.3	440.91	917.746
3	29.67	13.335	26.2	54.1	129.66	266.1	777.354	1605.147
4	43	13.33	27.4	56.3	103.46	212	1178.2	2420.9
5	56.33	13.335	28.36	58.1	76.06	155.7	1597.5188	3272.773
6	69.67	14.17	31	63.6	47.7	97.6	2159.77	4431.012
Roof	84.67	7.5	16.7	34	16.7	34	1413.989	2878.78





Wind lads
Category II => I=1.0

$$V = 90 \text{ mph}$$

 $V = 90 \text{ mph}$
 $V = 10.00056 V_2 V^2$
 $V = 0.00056 (V = 10)^{0.00} (10) V_2$
 $= 1.8 \text{ mph}$
 $V = 0.000 \text{ mph}$
 $V = 0.000$

Benoit Page 14 of 28

$$\frac{\partial^{2d}}{\partial t} \frac{f_{100}}{f_{100}} = \frac{1}{15! \cdot 75} + \frac{1}{13! \cdot 64!} \frac{1}{10! \cdot 5!} = \frac{1}{27!} \frac{1}{25! \cdot 75} + \frac{1}{13! \cdot 64!} \frac{1}{10! \cdot 5!} = \frac{1}{27!} \frac{1}{27$$

Page 15 of 28



Benoit Page 16 of 28

A4. Seismic

				Area				
Story	V	k	h _x	(sq. ft.)	W _x	$h_x{}^kW_x$	C _{vx}	$F_x(k)$
2	393.7248	1.03	16.33	18023.4	1441.872	25603.69	0.061621	24.26172
3	393.7248	1.03	29.67	18906.3	1512.504	49680.23	0.119567	47.07634
4	393.7248	1.03	43	19029.3	1522.344	73279.97	0.176365	69.43915
5	393.7248	1.03	56.33	19029.3	1522.344	96777.58	0.232917	91.70517
6	393.7248	1.03	69.67	19029.3	1522.344	120462	0.289919	114.1482
R	393.7248	1.03	84.67	19029.3	513.7911	49699.04	0.119612	47.09417

$\sum W_x =$	8035.199
$\sum h_x W_x =$	415502.5



Earthquake Loads

Occupancy Cat. II => I=1.0 55 = 0.15. 51 = 0.06 Site Class D Fv= 2.4 Fa= 1.6 Shis = Fa 3; = 1.6 (0.15) = 0.24 5m1 = FUS, = 2.4(0.06) = 0.144 5 DS = 23 Sins SD1 = 2 SM1 Design Category SDS => A SDI => B R= 3.25 CS= SDS RF Cg = 0.16 3.25 (0.049 use this Ta=0.56 TL= 12 Ta < Ti $C_{S} \leq \frac{S_{0,1}}{T(\frac{R}{T})} = \frac{0.096}{0.56(3.25)} = 0.054$ Benoit

Page 18 of 28

A5. Snow Loads

Show Loads $P_{f} = 0.7Ce C_{E} I P_{g}$ terrain Category B partially exposed $C_{E} = 1.0$ I = 1.0 $P_{g} = 25psf$ $P_{f} = 0.7(25) = 17.5psf$ $P_{f}min = 20I = 20psf$

A6. Spot Checks

Floor bay- beams and girders Roof bay- beams and girders Typical column Lateral bracing along column lines B&C

Check Beams

$$\frac{1}{30^{1} \cdot 4^{11}}$$

$$\frac{1}{30^{1} \cdot 4$$

Page 20 of 28

all bis are larger than assumed. As to increases so does moment capacity to all choice are ok. An extra Sport was added to the dead load as self weight allowance. How equates to 51, 05 pg which is greater than any of the above beam self weights.

With 20 is nost economical, havever it is more likely to have dedection problem under construction loads, who take is the mext economical choice.

The engineer used a W16+31 with 17 shor studes. Based on my Calculations this is averdesigned. Past & the difference may be the to my use of LRFD compared to their design in ASD. My feeling, Nowever, is that a W16+31 was used to keep the structural system simple and uniterm. In other areas such as corridors a larger live load is applied. For construction simplicity they may have chosen a slightly larger member to hagintain uniformity.

Check Girders

$$I_{0}^{-5''}$$
 $I_{0}^{-5''}$ $I_{0}^{-5''}$
 $R_{u}^{-} = \mathcal{D}(3A) = 58^{k}$
 $V_{u} = 58^{k}$
 $V_{u} = 58(0.25) = 594.5^{1k}$
Deck pacellel, don't know what blood used. Assume $I_{0}^{-} < 1.5^{-}$
and use $I_{0}^{-} = 18.3^{k}$ to be conservative.
 $V_{0}^{-} = \frac{5}{30}I_{-}4^{11}$
 $S = \frac{1}{4}(30!9'') = 93.25'' < controls$
OSDUME $Q = 1$
 $Y_{0}^{-} = 5.5$
 $I_{0}^{-} = \frac{1}{4}I_{0}^{-} = \frac{1}{1955}I_{-}79 + \frac{1}{4}I_{0}^{-}$
 $U_{0}^{-} = U_{0}^{-} = \frac{1}{1955}I_{-}79 + \frac{1}{4}I_{0}^{-}$
 $U_{0}^{-} = U_{0}^{-} = \frac{1}{1955}I_{-}79 + \frac{1}{4}I_{0}^{-}$
 $U_{0}^{-} = U_{0}^{-} = \frac{1}{204}I_{0}^{-} = \frac{1}{1955}I_{-}79 + \frac{1}{4}I_{0}^{-}$
 $U_{0}^{-} = U_{0}^{-} = \frac{1}{204}I_{0}^{-} = \frac{1}{204}I_{0}^{-} = \frac{1}{1955}I_{-}79 + \frac{1}{4}I_{0}^{-}$
 $U_{0}^{-} = U_{0}^{-} = \frac{1}{204}I_{0}^{-} = \frac{1}{20$

$$a = \frac{rqn}{6.85flb} = \frac{rqn}{274.4}$$

W271450 is the most economical choice. Yo is close enough to 5' to still work. The design engineer used a W24+55 with 35 studs. These diggerences could be from different design methods (AsD vs. LRFD). Or again, possibly from beams with higher Live loads, this will place a larger reaction on the girder.

> Benoit Page 22 of 28

Check Roof Beam 321-4" DL= 25psf LL = 20psf Trib width =101-3" Wu= (1,2(25) +1.6(20)](10'-3") = 636 plg Vu= 10.3K Mu = 84.91K A= = 1.089" $A_{L} = \frac{5(20)(10,25)(3267)^{4}(12)^{3}}{384(29,000,000) I}$ I=166.4 W14x22 I= 199ing OMp= 1231k, DVn=85.1K OK W12×53 \$Mn= 155", I= 425 \$Vn= 113k If unbraced Design engineer used WK0×31, This may be to keep uniform with all other beams in building. I would imagine that the added load from Goof top units will require an increase in member size.

Check Pool Girder

$$\begin{array}{c}
P_{u} & P_{u} \\
\hline & P_{u} & P_{u} \\
\hline &$$

Check Column

Typical Column will have girders on 2 sides and beams on 2 sides.

At each floor Ru= 2(29) - 2(58) = 174K

Check Column Blo typ. growity Column 101.8% D 1742 Spice - 1742 Congest unbraced length For rog-5th Lb = 75, For rog-5th Lb =

Roof Load = 2(10.3) + 2(20.6) = (el. 8K Gravity Loads only - not taking into account RTUS.

Section (D): Pu= 235,8K Lb = 15' WDx 40 Pu= 265K Section (D) = Pu= 583.8K Lb = 13.83' Wldx65 Pu= 661K Section (D) = Pu= 931.8K Lo = 16.33' Wl2x 106 Pu= 989K

> Benoit Page 25 of 28

Design Engineer used W12×45, W12×65 and W12×96 for sections 1-3 respectively. Differences may be due to ASD us LRFD, load differences on due to not including roof top units.

Lateral Check:

Corridon + (1,2(80) + 05(80)) (10) = 816 +

$$24 \Rightarrow (1,2(80) + 05(20))) (12(102) = 12281; 3 = 200.60)$$

Show = $3(1,2(80) + 05(20)) (16,167) = 2360.4$
 $310:001 = 3(1,2(80) + 05(20)) (16,167) = 2360.4$
 $310:001 = 3(1,2(80) + 05(20)) + 20466.245$
 $410:001 = 2046(2025) + 870(9,1875)(205.91) + 2360.4(10.4)(15.87)$
 $401 = 51.3^{12} - 102.8^{11}$
 $402:001 = 31.3^{12} - 102.8^{11}$
 $1.2(25) + 05(20) = 402.023$
 $402:001 = 2002 eV
 $402:001 = 2002 eV$
 $402:001 = 2$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$

Benoit Page 26 of 28

$$\frac{(24)(1201-9'')}{2} = 31.55^{k}$$

$$\frac{(1.2(80) + 05(50))(8'-6'')(7'-8.75'')}{2} + 1210(16.167)(7'-7.344''=) = 32.9^{k}$$

$$R_{L} = 5.4.4^{k}$$

.

1.2D +1.60 +0.5L
1.2D +1.0E +0.5L
Seismic base shear = 393.7^k
wind base shear lus direction = 156.7^k
1.60(156.7) = 253.72^k
Seismic cartrols
2 Dealed frames 30 each takes half

$$F_{3} = 23.5^{k}$$

 $F_{4} = 34.7^{k}$
 $F_{5} = 45.85^{k}$
 $F_{6} = 57^{k}$
 $F_{6} = 23.55^{k}$

Results from 215A 3D analysis

